

migration patterns is important for policy formulation that balances the demand of rural development with the district's expected urbanization. For rural areas, there is a need for policies that cater to employment opportunities, educational access, and healthcare services to accommodate the influx of rural migrants. Whereas for urban areas, improving infrastructure, housing, and public services is critical to manage future waves of urban migration. Policymakers should prioritize regional collaboration with neighboring districts to manage the flow of migrants and reduce potential strain on resources. One limitation of this study is reliance on decade-old data that does not fully reflect the fast changes occurred in recent years. Future studies with more up-to-date data would give deeper insights into emerging migration trends. It could also explore the socio-economic impacts of migration in Raebareilly post-urbanization, particularly focusing on the changing roles of women migrants and the economic outcomes of rural employment migration. In-depth qualitative studies could also provide a deeper understanding of the social networks facilitating regional migration.

References:

1. Bose, A., 1967, Migration Streams in India, Population Review, 2(2): pp. 39-45.
2. Census of India (2011). Migration D-Series Tables. Ministry of Home Affairs. New Delhi, Office of the Registrar General, Govt. of India
3. Chandna, R.C., 2016, Geography of Population: Concept Determinants and Pattern, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
4. Cherunilam, F., 1987, Migration: Causes, Correlates, Consequences, Trends and policies, Himalayan Publishing House, Delhi.
5. Choubey, Alok & Rai, Gayatri. (2021). Study of In-migration in Lucknow district, Uttar Pradesh. 67. 20-33. 10.48008/ngji.1758.
6. Gosal, G.S., 1961, Internal Migration in India: A Regional Analysis, Indian Geographical Journal, 36(3): pp. 106- 121.
7. Gosal, G.S., and Krishnan, G., 1975, Patterns of Internal Migration in India, in Kosinski, L.A., and Prothero, R.M., (eds.), People on the Move, Methuen and Co. Ltd., London: pp. 193-206.
8. Madeshwaran, S. and P. Attewell (2007). Caste Discrimination in the Indian Urban Labour Market: Evidence from the National Sample Survey, Economic and Political Weekly Vol. 42(41).
9. Majumdar, P.S. and Majumdar.I., 1978, Rural Migrants in an Urban Setting, Hindustan Publishing Corporation, Delhi.
10. Morris, M. D. (1965). The emergence of an industrial labor force in India: A study of the Bombay cotton mills, 1854-1947. Unit of California Press.
11. Mueller, Valerie & Shariff, Abusaleh. (2011). Preliminary Evidence on Internal Migration, Remittances, and Teen Schooling in India. Contemporary Economic Policy. 29.11. 10.1111/j.1465-7287.2010.00211. x.
12. Pathak, K. B., and Ram, F., 2015, Techniques of Demographic Analysis, Himalaya Publishing House, Mumbai.
13. Singh, R. (2014). The role of social networks in migration: a case study of out-migration from Uttar Pradesh to Mumbai. Journal of Studies in Dynamics and Change (JSDC), ISSN: 2348-7038, 1(3), 137-144.
14. Singh, R. (2018). Credit constraints and Rural Migration: Evidence from Six Villages in Uttar Pradesh. Migration Letters, 15(3), 389-399.
15. **Times of India. (2025, April 25). State Capital Region Development Authority notification. Times of India. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/lucknow/state-capital-region-development-authority-notification/articleshow/111874551.cms>**
16. Vaidyanathan, K.E., 1967, Population Redistribution and Economic Change, 1951-61, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania.

Borders and Balance: Navigating India's Migration Challenge with Lessons from the U.S.

Nihar Sharma

M.A. Political Science

Kanoria P.G. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Jaipur

Illegal migration has been a complex and sensitive issue in India for decades, but in recent years, it has taken center stage in political debates and discussions. As the nation grapples with questions of security, national identity, and demographic change, it becomes crucial to look beyond our borders and see how other democracies tackle similar challenges while remaining true to their democratic values. Among these countries, the United States stands out, not solely for its history of mass migration, strict border enforcement, and extensive security apparatus but also for the significant political debates that surround it. The U.S.'s experience offers both positive and cautionary lessons for India's future policy choices. From the heated debates regarding Assam to national headlines about the CAA and NRC, the question of '*who belongs and who doesn't*' has taken center stage in political and public discourse.

Understanding India's Migration Dilemma -

India's migration history has been shaped by its ancient and colonial past, as well as its geopolitical relations. The country shares an extremely porous border stretching over 4,000 kilometers with Bangladesh, which remains a major point of concern, particularly in states such as Assam and West Bengal. Over the decades, factors such as political instability in the neighbouring countries, economic hardships, and at times political motives have pushed migrants into India, raising serious concerns over demographic change and putting pressure on the political system of the country. This has fueled genuine concerns and fears of cultural and economic marginalization.

The Indian government took various steps in response to these issues. These include the Citizenship Amendment Act, 2019 (CAA) which aims to provide fast-track Indian citizenship for certain persecuted religious minorities (Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis, and Christians) who fled persecution from Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Bangladesh; and the National Register of Citizens (NRC) to document Indian citizens and identify illegal immigrants. Both measures have sparked intense political debates and discussions: praised by some for taking necessary steps for national security and being accused by some of

religious discrimination. The challenge for India is not just securing the borders but doing it in such a way that aligns with the country's democratic and constitutional values.

The American Experience: Walls and Beyond

Thousands of miles away, another large democracy, the U.S., faces similar challenges and debates over illegal migration. It shares a border of over 3,000 kilometers with Mexico, but unlike the porous borders of India, the U.S.-Mexico border has been highly securitized, with walls and fences built over decades and billions of dollars spent on surveillance technologies.

However, the U.S. policy isn't just about physical barriers; it also includes various administrative and legal measures to address illegal migration. Programs like DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals) offer protection to young undocumented migrants who were brought into the United States as children, recognizing humanitarian realities and respecting their dignity. At the same time, enforcement agencies such as the ICE (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) are given the task of large-scale deportations.

We see a mix of federal laws and state-level initiatives in the U.S. system. States like California have passed "sanctuary" laws to protect undocumented migrants, while states like Texas have taken a stricter and harsher route. This shows that while states may differ in their views and policies on migrants' rights, there is still a broader commitment to uphold national security laws and to balance strict enforcement with respect for human rights.

Policy Lessons for India

India and the U.S. have different socio-cultural systems, levels of economic development, and migration patterns. Yet, some elements of the American approach to tackling illegal migration may yield valuable policy insights for India.

Improvement in documentation – The U.S. maintains a clear and detailed database of citizens and immigrants supported by advanced biometric system. In India, the experience of the NRC process highlighted the challenges of conducting such large-scale documentation exercises in a country with a population approximately 4 times larger than that of the U.S. To address this challenge, investing in modern and transparent technologies could help reduce errors and build greater trust among the public.

Centre-State Coordination – Both India and the U.S. are federations. The American model demonstrates flexibility by allowing states to form independent policies to address local realities. In India, states like Assam, West Bengal, and Tripura suffer from the effects of illegal migration far

more than non-border states like Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, etc. Empowering state governments to play a structured role in policy making and enforcement procedures could make the responses more effective and better aligned with the local requirements.

Addressing economic realities – The fact that migrants bring cheap labor to both the countries cannot be ignored. In the U.S., despite political rhetoric, the production and service sectors benefit significantly from migrant workers. Similarly, many parts of India benefit from cheap labor, whether in construction, domestic work, or as daily wage laborers. While their economic contribution to the country is important, it also creates higher job competition for the locals and could increase the feeling of resentment among the country's legal population due to increased unemployment. Policy-makers should therefore strive to balance strict enforcement with recognition of the migrant's contributions to the country and the economy.

However, not all policies and practices adopted by the U.S. are suitable for the Indian context. India should be cautious when taking inspiration from other models, as each country has its unique challenges and social structure. This diversity makes it difficult to find universal solutions for the problem of illegal migration.

The American model itself is often criticized for being discriminatory and inhumane. Reports of racial profiling, harsh detention conditions, and family separations surface from time to time. Policies perceived as targeting a specific community have a risk of inflaming tensions rather than solving the root cause, as was seen in the form of violent protests in Assam, New Delhi, Meghalaya, Tripura, and various other Indian states against the NRC.

A Balanced Way Forward

Border securitization and migration control are essential for any nation to ensure security and adequate opportunities for its citizens. However, this process should not undermine our democratic and constitutional values. India can surely learn from the U.S.- its use of modern technology, better data management, and its system of multi-layered governance, but it must create solutions that are tailored to its unique history, values, and requirements.

In the end, strong migration policies shouldn't be built on fear alone. They should balance protection with empathy, fairness, and respect for human life. In this way, we can aim to secure our borders and protect our people without losing sight of who we truly are.
